

An infinite supermultiplet of massive higher-spin fields

Xavier Bekaert^a Michel Rausch de Traubenberg^b Mauricio Valenzuela^a

^a Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique
Unité Mixte de Recherche 6083 du CNRS, Fédération Denis Poisson
Université François Rabelais, Parc de Grandmont
37200 Tours, France
bekaert@lmpt.univ-tours.fr, valenzue@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

^b IPHC-DRS, Uds, CNRS, IN2P3
23 rue du Loess
67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France
Michel.Rausch@IReS.in2p3.fr

Abstract

The representation theory underlying the infinite-component relativistic wave equation written by Majorana is revisited from a modern perspective. On the one hand, the massless solutions of this equation are shown to form a supermultiplet of the superPoincaré algebra with tensorial central charges; it can also be obtained as the infinite spin limit of massive solutions. On the other hand, the Majorana equation is generalized for any space-time dimension and for arbitrary Regge trajectories. Inspired from these results, an infinite supermultiplet of massive fields of all spins and of equal mass is constructed in four dimensions and proved to carry an irreducible representation of the orthosymplectic group $OSp(1|4)$ and of the superPoincaré group with tensorial charges.

1 Introduction

Despite several decades of study, the problem of constructing covariant consistent interactions for higher-spin fields (i.e. spin $s > 2$) is still only partially solved, and has turned out to be among the most intriguing and challenging problems of field theory, already at the classical level. In this area of research, it is a common place to *a posteriori* view string theory as a concrete example of such a consistent interacting theory. From a group-theoretical point of view, the spectrum of string theory on Minkowski space-time can be described as an infinite sum of unitary irreducible representations (UIRs) of the Poincaré group where all higher-spin representations are massive. There is an infinite number of fields with increasing masses for any given spin. Most studies on higher-spin field theories focus on the truncation of this terribly huge spectrum to the leading Regge trajectory, where each UIR has multiplicity one. Several arguments suggest that such truncations might be consistent, at least in some high-energy regime, and are either looked at as useful toy models or as candidate fundamental theories in themselves.

The birth of higher-spin field quest can be traced back to the early thirties with the pioneering work of Majorana [1] which, surprisingly enough, remained almost completely unnoticed during three decades, although it anticipated many later developments who received considerable attention from the mathematical physics community: infinite-component relativistic wave equations, UIRs of Lorentz, Poincaré and anti de Sitter groups, *etc.*¹ The infinite-component wave equation of Majorana was rediscovered independently by Gel'fand and Yaglom [3] in the late forties but its genuine revival was due to the efforts of Fradkin [4]. In order to underline the premonitory character of Majorana's ideas, one could mention for instance that the solutions of the linear infinite-component relativistic wave equation he proposed not only contain massive UIRs of Poincaré group for all spins but also the more exotic “tachyonic” and “continuous-spin” representations [5] while it is only² in the late thirties that equations describing a single elementary (massive or massless) particle of any spin were introduced by Dirac, Fierz and Pauli [9]. In the sixties, the proliferation of hadrons with large spin s and mass spectrum roughly described by a linearly rising Regge trajectory

$$m^2 = \frac{s - \alpha_0}{\alpha'}, \quad (1.1)$$

with Regge slope α' and intercept α_0 , was one of the main mystery of strong interaction physics. This prompted an intensive study of various infinite-component relativistic wave equations (sometimes coming from the first quantization of some mechanical model) leading to infinite towers of higher-spin particles whose mass is related to the spin. (See [10] and references therein. A concise review of infinite-component relativistic wave equations and dynamical groups can be found in [11].) Unfortunately, the equation of Majorana leads to an unobserved decreasing Regge trajectory

$$m = \frac{M}{s + \frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.2)$$

together with a spectrum of tachyonic particles and continuous-spin massless particles. Analogous problems were shown to automatically arise by Grodsky and Streater [12] for most reasonable avatars of Majorana's seminal work. Their no-go theorem undermined the corresponding programmes of research (dynamical groups and current algebras) while two distinct (parton *versus* dual) models of hadrons started to attract attention (and gave rise, respectively, to quantum chromodynamics and string theory).

Nevertheless, the representation theory behind Majorana construction is re-examined here from a contemporary perspective because many of its key ingredients, such as the singletons, play now a prominent role in the non-Abelian massless higher-spin theory on anti de Sitter space time (see *e.g.* [13, 14] for some reviews). The paper [1] is usually referred to as the first appearance in elementary particle physics of unitary representations of $Spin(1, 3) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, the double cover of the Lorentz group, but it is almost never mentioned that actually Majorana also presented and made a decisive use of two unitary representations of the bigger group $Spin(2, 3) \cong Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$, the double covering of the anti de Sitter isometry group. Since this modern point of view is rather anachronistic, the paper [15] of Dirac on these “remarkable” representations is traditionally referred to as the seminal paper on the “singleton” representations (using the terminology introduced by Flato and Frønsdal [16] much later). Still, this surprising appearance of two *a priori* unrelated structures in the same

¹A very concise and inspiring account of Majorana's publication itself and of the history of infinite-component wave equations can be found in [2].

²Esposito and Recami presented several evidences in the research notebooks of Majorana [6, 7] supporting the thesis that he might have obtained Dirac-like equations for single massive fields of arbitrary spin even before developing his infinite-component equation. According to Majorana himself, the paper [1] gave only “a short summary” of his work on this subject [8].

context is very suggestive, and so it is not excluded that Majorana-like constructions could play a role in the mysterious spontaneous symmetry breaking of higher-spin gauge symmetries. This possibility motivates a thorough examination of a class of Majorana-like infinite-component wave equations from a contemporary perspective.³

1.1 Summary of the main results

In the present paper, the generation of various infinite spectrums of masses for higher-spin particles from a single relativistic wave equation but with an infinite number of components is investigated. The analysis is essentially restricted to four-dimensional Minkowski space-time but most of the results allow straightforward higher-dimensional extensions.

The focus is put here on the intermediate situation where the generated mass spectrum is neither rising like (1.1) nor decreasing like (1.2) but is instead a “horizontal” Regge trajectory. In other words, there is only one mass-shell but of infinite degeneracy⁴: $m^2 = \text{constant}$ for all (integer and half-odd-integer) spin s . The proposed supermultiplet is thus an infinite tower of particles of equal mass but with all spins. This collection of particles is shown to carry an irreducible representation of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ superalgebra. More concretely, the physical components of each massive field is a spin- s representation and the direct sum of all such representations (with multiplicity one) precisely fits in the UIR of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ called the “singleton supermultiplet” (see *e.g.* [18] for a pedagogical introduction). This argument is valid for any fixed plane wave so that $OSp(1|4)$ symmetry group commutes with the space-time translation group. From the space-time point of view, the supermultiplet proposed here carries also a representation of the superPoincaré algebra with tensorial “central” charges. This property is reminiscent of the supersymmetric particle models with tensorial central charges [19] producing upon quantization the infinite supermultiplet of massless particles with all spins given in [20]. This model is of direct relevance [21] in the non-Abelian higher-spin gauge theory on AdS_4 . However, it should be stressed that our supermultiplet is not a usual one in the sense that the corresponding “translation” operators, mix space-time translation and “spinning” degrees of freedom. The usual translation of the superalgebra are recovered for the massless solution of the Majorana equation, or equivalently, in the continuous-spin limit of the massive supermultiplet.

This limit deserves several comments because it is of interest in itself. In the recent paper [22], linear relativistic wave equations unifying the spin-0 equation introduced by Dirac in [23] and its spin-1/2 counterpart [24] were proposed and generalized (by means of the Majorana equation) to a supersymmetric theory of massive higher-spin particles. The resulting theory is characterized by a nonlinear symmetry superalgebra that, in the infinite-spin limit, reduces to the super-Poincaré algebra with or without tensorial central charge. This subtle infinite-spin ($s \rightarrow \infty$) and zero-mass ($m \rightarrow 0$) limit of massive higher-spin representations with the product $ms = M$ kept fixed has actually been studied recently [25] and leads to one of the two (either bosonic or fermionic) “continuous-spin” representations of the Poincaré group (whether the spins s are all integer or all half-odd-integer). Actually, the Majorana equation provides a particular realization of this limit because the massless sector can be understood as the infinite-spin limit of a couple of particles of spins $s + 1/2$ and s with masses determined by the Regge trajectory (1.2). Notice that the ratio

³Somewhat similarly, an old mechanical model (inspired by the string model of hadronic physics) producing an infinite tower of massless and massive particles has been revisited very recently [17] in the modern light of the interaction problem for higher-spin gauge theories.

⁴Notice that this property is in agreement with the main conclusion of Grodsky-Streater’s no-go theorem [12]. In the present context, this degeneracy is not considered as a fatal disease but, on the contrary, as a natural feature of a massive higher-spin multiplet.

of their masses and number of degrees of freedom goes to unity in the limit, as it should be for any exact supermultiplet. After the limit, the couple of (bosonic and fermionic) continuous-spin particles forms a supermultiplet: the massless sector of Majorana’s equation is supersymmetric, a surprising fact which seems to have been unobserved previously. A continuous-spin supermultiplet was already found in [26] but non-trivial central extensions were not found. Therefore, one may identify the superPoincaré algebra obtained in [22] and in the present paper as a possible extensions of [26] with tensorial central charges.

1.2 Structure of the paper

The plan is as follows: Section 2 is a review of infinite-component Dirac-like equations of the type introduced by Majorana. These equations are introduced from a very general perspective which allows their straightforward generalization to other representations of the Lorentz algebra and to any dimension. The Di and Rac representations are instrumental in Majorana’s construction, so the section 3 is devoted to a detailed review of the many aspects of these representations in their (probably most convenient) realization in terms of Fock space. This allows to review briefly in Section 4 the spectrum of particles for the infinite-component Majorana equation. More generally, infinite-component relativistic wave equations whose spectrum of solutions provide arbitrary Regge trajectories are presented in Section 5. A dictionary between the planar harmonic oscillator states and the infinite collection of massive particles in rest frame of all spins and of equal mass is provided in Section 6. Following the philosophy of dynamical groups [10, 11], we boost this infinite collection of states and prove in Section 7 that it forms an infinite supermultiplet: it spans an irreducible representation of the orthosymplectic group $OSp(1|4)$ and of the superPoincaré group with tensorial central charges. The latter groups respectively correspond to “spinning” versus “space-time” symmetries. The section 8 is the conclusion, where in particular, some aspects about (super)symmetry breaking are briefly discussed. The main ingredient for building the various representations considered is the Weyl algebra A_2 , so an appendix is devoted to several of its finite-dimensional algebras which are used here.

2 Infinite-component Dirac-like equations in any dimension

In order to stress the degree of generality of the underlying philosophy behind the infinite-component Majorana equation, this section proposes some possible generalisations in the light of modern knowledge of representation theory.⁵ One of the key idea of Majorana was to write down a linear wave equation which formally resembles to Dirac’s one except that the wave function takes value in a unitary Lorentz algebra module V (or “representation space”), so that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions is a *reducible* Poincaré algebra module decomposing as an *infinite* sum of irreducible Poincaré algebra modules where the values of the quadratic (momentum squared) and quartic (Pauli-Lubanski vector squared) Casimir operators are related by means of the wave equation. In other words, the mass spectrum of particles is related to the spin.⁶ As stressed here such a construction can of course be done in any space-time dimension and by using various unitary representations of the Lorentz group. The restriction to $D = 4$ dimensions and Majorana representation of the Lorentz group can be understood as a very particular case. (For a review of the UIRs of Poincaré groups and of their correspondence with relativistic wave equations in any space-time dimension $D \geq 4$, see *e.g.* [28].)

⁵An exhaustive treatment of *finite-component* Dirac-like equations in $D = 4$ dimensions was performed by Bhabha in [27].

⁶Actually, Majorana’s motivation was not to generate a mass spectrum but instead the property that the equation admits only positive energy solutions.

Concretely, let ψ be a wave function taking values in some Lorentz $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ -module V where the generators of $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ are realised as Hermitian operators $S_{\mu\nu}$, acting on the spinning degrees of freedom (or “components”) that span the module V , and satisfying the commutation relations

$$[S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\lambda\rho}] = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}S_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho}S_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu\rho}S_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu\lambda}S_{\mu\rho}) \quad (2.1)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Consider the generators of the Poincaré algebra,

$$P_\mu = -i\partial/\partial x^\mu, \quad J_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu P_\nu - x_\nu P_\mu + S_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1 \quad (2.2)$$

where x^μ are the space-time coordinates, P_μ their conjugated momenta and $S_{\mu\nu}$ is now interpreted as the spin part of the Lorentz generator $J_{\mu\nu}$.

As it is, the space of wave functions ψ is by construction a module of the Poincaré algebra, and thus, of its Lorentz subalgebra generated by $J_{\mu\nu}$. Notice that even when the $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ -module V generated by $S_{\mu\nu}$ is irreducible, it does not implies the irreducibility of $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ -module generated by $J_{\mu\nu}$. The important point we would like to emphasize, is that it is necessary to have some relativistic wave equations (*e.g.* the Dirac, Proca equation, etc.) in order to determine what is the physical content of the theory and, for instance, determine whether the representation of the Poincaré algebra is irreducible and unitary. Indeed, the relevant $\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)$ -module is the submodule $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions of the relativistic wave equations. This subtlety is well-known but may be sometimes confusing. For instance, we will stress that the infinite-component wave function of Majorana takes values in a UIR of the Lorentz algebra but the Hilbert space of solutions of this wave equation carries a *reducible* representation of the Poincaré algebra.

Till now, the discussion has been completely generic so let us focus on the general recipe for preparing a Majorana-like infinite-component relativistic wave equation goes as follows:

1. write down a Dirac-like equation

$$(P_\mu \Gamma^\mu - M)\psi = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where M is a non-vanishing parameter, say positive $M > 0$, with the dimension of a mass;

2. impose that the “Gamma matrices” Γ^μ transform as vectors under the adjoint action of Lorentz algebra:

$$[S_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_\lambda] = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma_\mu), \quad (2.4)$$

in order to ensure Lorentz invariance;

3. require that the components of the wave function transform in a representation of the Lorentz algebra whose generators are proportional to the commutator of “Gamma matrices” via the usual relation

$$S_{\mu\nu} := -i[\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu]; \quad (2.5)$$

4. relax Dirac’s assumption that (2.3) implies the Klein-Gordon equation $P^2 = -M^2$;
5. assume that the components of the wave function span some *unitary* (hence infinite-dimensional) module V of the pseudo-orthogonal algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$ spanned by Γ_μ and $S_{\nu\rho}$.

Physically speaking, the fourth assumption is necessary if one wants to generate a non-trivial mass spectrum. Mathematically speaking, it means that the Γ 's are not assumed to span a Clifford algebra. Actually, no hypothesis is made on their *anticommutator*. However, the assumptions (2.1), (2.4) and (2.5) state that Γ_μ and $S_{\nu\rho}$ span together a representation of the pseudo-orthogonal algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$. This lead to the last assumption, which implies that V is also a unitary (maybe reducible) module of the Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$. The main differences between Majorana infinite-component and Dirac finite-component equation are that, for the former, the wave function transforms in a unitary representation and that the Γ^μ are all Hermitian (in such a way that their spectrum is real), which is impossible for Clifford algebras with Lorentzian signature.⁷ Notice that the gender of the D th extra direction associated to the generator $S_{\mu,D} := \Gamma_\mu$ is fixed by the relative sign in the commutation relation (2.5), so another possibility would correspond to the commutation relations of the de Sitter algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, D)$. This choice is rejected because there do not exist any UIR of $\mathfrak{so}(1, D)$ such that $S_{0,D} := \Gamma_0$ is positive-definite. In other words, if the generators of $\mathfrak{so}(1, D)$ are all Hermitian then the spectrum of Γ_0 is, of course, real but it automatically contains negative eigenvalues.

The quadratic Casimir operator of the Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ is the square of the generators $S_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)) = \frac{1}{2} J^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.6)$$

The quadratic Casimir operator of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1) := \mathbb{R}^{D-1,1} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ is the square of the momentum

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)) = -P^\mu P_\mu, \quad (2.7)$$

while the quartic Casimir operator is

$$\mathcal{C}_4(\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)) = -\frac{1}{2} P^2 J_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu} + J_{\mu\rho} P^\rho J^{\mu\sigma} P_\sigma, \quad (2.8)$$

which, for $D = 4$, is the square of the Pauli-Lubanski vector W^μ ,

$$W^\mu := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} J_{\nu\rho} P_\sigma, \quad (2.9)$$

(where $\varepsilon^{0123} = 1$). Notice that the quadratic and quartic Casimir operators essentially classify the UIRs in $D = 4$, but this is no more true in higher dimensions where more Casimir operators are necessary. Moreover, one should stress that the eigenvalues of the Casimir operators do not characterize uniquely an irreducible representation (for instance, the quadratic and quartic Casimir operators vanish for all helicity representations).

The sign of the quadratic Casimir operator (2.7) of the Poincaré algebra determines the gender of the momentum $P_\mu \neq 0$ (when $M \neq 0$) and thereby its stabilizer (or “little”) algebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}_2)}$ depending on $\text{sgn}(P^2) = -\text{sgn}(\mathcal{C}_2)$, the sign of the momentum square. This implies that the unitary Poincaré module $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions ψ to the Dirac-like equation (2.3) admits the obvious decomposition in submodules

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_- \oplus \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_+,$$

where the direct sum is actually over the sign of the momentum square, $\text{sgn}(-P^2) \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$. The quartic Casimir operator (2.8) of the Poincaré algebra can be evaluated in components in the

⁷ Indeed, more generally, the second and third assumptions above are very closely related to the parafermions [29, 30]. The difference being that he considered order p parafermions, that is a finite dimensional representation of the Lorentz algebra. Order one parafermions correspond to the Clifford algebra, order two parafermions to the Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau algebra, *etc* [29].

canonical frame adapted to the given momentum. These relation between the various values of the Casimir operators can be summarized in the following table (see [28] for details). The important

Table 1:

Quadratic Casimir	Stability algebra	UIR	Quartic Casimir operator
> 0	$\mathfrak{l}_+ = \mathfrak{so}(D-1)$	Massive	$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)) \times \mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(D-1))$
$= 0$	$\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{iso}(D-2)$	Massless	$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(D-2))$
< 0	$\mathfrak{l}_- = \mathfrak{so}(1, D-2)$	Tachyonic	$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)) \times \mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, D-2))$

lesson is that for given values of the quadratic Casimir operators of the Poincaré group *and* of the little group, the quartic Casimir operator is completely determined. Therefore it is natural to decompose the $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ -module V as a direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon$ -submodules V_ϵ^J labelled by the index J for fixed $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$: $V = \bigoplus_J V_\epsilon^J$. This decomposition can be computed via the known branching rules for the restriction of $\mathfrak{so}(1, D-1)$ to its subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon$. From Wigner's method of induced representations, one expects that, in each Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ of solutions there is a one-to-one correspondence between any irreducible $\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon$ -submodules V_ϵ^J and an irreducible $\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)$ -submodule $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^J$. Therefore, the Hilbert space of solutions decomposes into irreducible Poincaré-modules as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, +1\}} \bigoplus_J \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^J.$$

A particular example might clarify these last steps. Let us take as unitary irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$ -module V the “conformal scalar field” on $\mathbb{R}^{1, D-2}$ space-time for $D \geq 4$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\frac{D-3}{2}, 0)$ in the literature (see *e.g.* [31] for a short review). Let us consider a plane wave in the massive sector $\mathcal{H}_+$ of solutions of the Dirac-like equation (2.3). In the rest frame, the momentum takes the simple form $P^\mu = (m, 0, \dots, 0)$ hence the eigenvalue of the Dirac-like operator is equal to $m\Gamma_0 = M$. Thus the massive spectrum is entirely determined by the spectrum of the operator Γ_0 , which is interpreted as the “energy” when the algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$ is interpreted as the anti de Sitter isometry algebra. The rotation algebra $\mathfrak{l}_+ \cong \mathfrak{so}(D-1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, D-1)$ characterizes the massive representations. Then, $V = \mathcal{D}(\frac{D-3}{2}, 0)$ can be decomposed as the direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(D-1)$ -modules $\mathcal{D}_J$ (labeled by a Young diagram made of a single row of J boxes; they generalize the “spin- J ” $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ -modules) as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{D-3}{2}, 0\right) = \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_J,$$

where each irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(D-1)$ -module $V_+^J = \mathcal{D}_J$ is also an eigenspace of Γ_0 :

$$\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}_J = \left(J + \frac{D-3}{2}\right) \mathcal{D}_J.$$

This shows that the massive sector $\mathcal{H}_+$ of solutions of the Dirac-like equation is the infinite direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{iso}(1, D-1)$ -modules $\mathcal{H}_+^J$ describing a particle of “spin” J and mass

$$m_J = \frac{M}{J + \frac{D-3}{2}}, \quad J = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.10)$$

generalizing the formula (1.2) for bosons. This shows explicitly that though the wave function spans an irreducible Lorentz-module, the corresponding space of solution of the wave equation is reducible. The same result should apply to the “conformal spinor field,” *i.e.* for half-odd-integers J .

It is worth mentioning that the formula (2.10) applies also in the three-dimensional case, $m_J = M/J$, but the spin J is not quantized: it may take continuous real values. In fact, the Majorana equation in $D = 3$ even fixes the spin $J \in \mathbb{R}$ and describes anyons (“fractional spin particles”), see *e.g.* [32, 33] and reference therein. The main reason is that the Dirac-like operator $P \cdot \Gamma = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}P^\mu J^{\nu\lambda}$ is a quadratic Casimir operator of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(1, 2)$ since in $D = 3$ the Γ_μ operator is equivalent to the dual of Lorentz transformation, *i.e.* $\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}S^{\nu\lambda}$ implies (2.5).

Majorana’s work corresponds to the particular case where $D = 4$ and the unitary module of the AdS_4 algebra is the singleton supermultiplet, *i.e.* the sum of the “Di” and “Rac” UIRs (following the terminology introduced in [16]) or, rephrasing it, in the trivial frame ($P^\mu = 0$) the Majorana wave function is equivalent to singleton supermultiplet. These two “remarkable” UIRs of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ share the property that their restriction to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$ remains irreducible (this, and previously mentioned facts on the AdS_4 algebra are presented in more details for the $D = 4$ case, in Appendix A.)

3 The $OSp(1|4)$ Di-Rac-Majorana representation

The representation of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ employed by Majorana in the Dirac-like equation (2.3) is very exceptional. He looked for some unitary representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ in order to get positive-energy solutions from his equation, as explained in the previous section. The isomorphism of algebras $\mathfrak{sp}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ allows to construct representations of the Anti de Sitter algebra as symmetrized quadratic products in the Hermitian generators of the Weyl algebra A_2 (realized here by the operators q_i and $\eta_i = -i\partial/\partial q_i$, $i = 1, 2$; see the appendix A for more details). In fact, with this procedure Majorana implicitly introduced an additional structure for the spinning degrees of freedom which in turn is related to the metaplectic group $Mp(4) := \widehat{Sp}(4)$, the double cover of the symplectic group $Sp(4)$. The Fock spaces were rigorously introduced as modules of the groups $Mp(2n)$ by Weil and Shale in mathematics under the name of metaplectic modules, but they were known already to physicists since they underlie the harmonic oscillator with n degrees of freedom. The metaplectic (or Weil) representation is a faithful unitary representation of the metaplectic group $Mp(4)$, and it is only a projective (“double-valued”) representation of the symplectic group $Sp(4)$. The metaplectic group $Mp(4)$ is not a matrix group: it has no faithful finite-dimensional representations. The metaplectic representation is reducible: the metaplectic module $\mathcal{M}$ decomposes in two irreducible $Mp(4)$ -modules, say $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_+ \oplus \mathcal{M}_-$. Actually, the Fock space $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded by the parity of the number operator. Surprisingly, the Di and Rac UIRs of $Sp(4) \cong Spin(2, 3)$ are identified with the previous modules for $Mp(4)$: Di = $\mathcal{M}_-$ and Rac = $\mathcal{M}_+$. The Di and Rac representations carry half-odd-integer and integer spin respectively, and they can be joined in a representation of $OSp(1|4)$ with the supercharges interchanging the Di and Rac modules. (See the appendix A.) In the metaplectic representation the operators interchanging the $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ modules are naturally given in terms of the generators of A_2 . However, a subtle but important observation is in order: The usual supercharges of $OSp(1|4)$ are Grassmann-odd (fermionic), hence they have finite-dimensional representations. Instead, the supercharges of $OSp(1|4)$ are represented here by generators of A_2 , which are Grassmann-even (denominated as well “bosonized supersymmetry” [33, 34]), therefore we must get an infinite-dimensional representation. We will take full advantage of this fact to get an infinite (massive) super-multiplet.

Let us study these aspects in detail. The generators of the Weyl algebra A_2 can be arranged in the vector $L_a = (q_1, q_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$, where $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Defining $M_{ab} := \frac{1}{2}\{L_a, L_b\}$ we obtain the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ (anti)commutation relation

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{ab}, M_{cd}] &= i(C_{ac}M_{bd} + C_{bd}M_{ac} + C_{ad}M_{bc} + C_{bc}M_{ad}) \\ M_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2}\{L_a, L_b\}, \quad [M_{ab}, L_c] = i(C_{ac}L_b + C_{bc}L_a), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

derived from the canonical commutation relations of the Weyl algebra generators,

$$[L_a, L_b] = iC_{ab}, \quad C_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2 \times 2} \\ -I_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

The isomorphism $\mathfrak{sp}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ implies that the symplectic index a is actually also spinorial in the sense that the vector L_a can also be interpreted as a Grassmann-even real spinor (“twistor”) and the antisymmetric (symplectic) matrix C_{ab} also works as a spinor metric (see e.g. [20]) raising and lowering spinor-symplectic indices as $A^a = A_b C^{ba}$ and $A_a = C_{ab} L^b$, where $C^{ab} = C_{ab}$, $C_{ac} C^{bc} = \delta_a^b$. We are now able to obtain in a space-time covariant way the singleton representation of the algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ ⁸

$$S^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma^{\mu\nu})^{ab} M_{ab}, \quad \Gamma^\mu = -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^\mu)^{ab} M_{ab}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the Dirac γ -matrices are taken in the Majorana representation (A.3). As a direct consequence of (3.2) we have the following identities

$$\Gamma^\mu \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Gamma^\mu S_{\mu\nu} = S_{\nu\mu} \Gamma^\mu = -\frac{3i}{2} \Gamma_\nu, \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} S_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma_\rho = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

It has to be stressed however that the Γ operators do not produce the Clifford algebra but instead $\Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3i}{2} S_{\mu\nu} - S_{\mu\lambda} S^\lambda_\nu$. From these relations, the two Casimir operators of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ are easily obtained,

$$C_2(\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)) := \frac{1}{2} S^{AB} S_{AB} = -\frac{5}{4}, \quad C'_2(\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)) := V^A V_A = 0, \quad A, B = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where $S^{4\mu} := \Gamma^\mu$ has been defined together with the operator $V^A := \epsilon^{ABCDE} S_{BC} S_{DE}$ which is identically zero $V^A \equiv 0$. The Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{01234} = 1$ and the metric is taken to be $\eta^{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, -1)$. Instead, for the Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$, the Casimir operator are given by

$$C_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)) := \frac{1}{2} S^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{3}{4}, \quad C'_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)) := \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} S_{\mu\nu} S_{\lambda\rho} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

It is convenient to write the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ commutation relations (3.1) in terms of the space-time covariant generators (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} [S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\lambda\rho}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda} S_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho} S_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu\rho} S_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu\lambda} S_{\mu\rho}) \\ [S_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma_\lambda] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma_\mu), \quad [\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu] = -i S_{\mu\nu}, \\ [S_{\mu\nu}, L_a] &= -(\gamma_{\mu\nu})_a{}^b L_b, \quad [\Gamma_\mu, L_a] = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma_\mu)_a{}^b L_b, \\ \{L_a, L_b\} &= -2(i S_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - \Gamma_\mu \gamma^\mu)_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

⁸For further details of this representation see appendix A, and alternatively ref. [22].

The supersymmetric structure reveals that the $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ representation is reducible since it contains a bosonic and a fermionic submodule. This is also reflected in the existence of a nontrivial central element commuting with $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$. It is the parity operator in the “*spinning*” phase space, denoted $\mathcal{R}$, which maps (q_i, η_i) to $(-q_i, -\eta_i)$. This operator is unitary since it is associated to a $U(1) \subset Sp(4)$ transformation. Indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{R} = -\exp(i2\pi\Gamma_0) = \exp(i2\pi S_{12}). \quad (3.7)$$

This means that $\mathcal{R}$ can be understood as a 2π rotation in a time-time like or a space-space like plane but by a π rotation in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates (q_1, q_2) . Observe that

$$\mathcal{R}L_a\mathcal{R}^\dagger = -L_a, \quad \mathcal{R}S_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^\dagger = S_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{R}\Gamma_\mu\mathcal{R}^\dagger = \Gamma_\mu. \quad (3.8)$$

Taking into account the equations $\mathcal{R}^\dagger = \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}^2 = 1$ and (3.8) the following (anti)commutation relations,

$$[\mathcal{R}, S_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{R}, \Gamma_\mu] = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{R}, L_a\} = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

are obtained. Thus $\mathcal{R}$ generates a representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $S_{\mu\nu}$, Γ_μ are even and L_a are odd operators, *i.e.* $\mathcal{R}$ is the grading operator of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ superalgebra (or more generally of A_2) in the representation (3.6).⁹ The eigenspaces, $\mathcal{M}_\pm = \pm\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}_\pm$, are invariant modules of $Sp(4)$. We can extract out irreducible representation of $Sp(4)$ introducing of the projector operators,

$$\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \mathcal{R}), \quad (\Pi_\pm)^2 = \Pi_\pm, \quad \Pi_+\Pi_- = 0, \quad \Pi_+ + \Pi_- = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

such that $\Pi_\pm\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\pm$. From (3.9) and (3.10), the irreducible representation of $Sp(4)$ upon these two modules are labelled by $Sp_\pm(4)$ and are generated by,

$$\mathfrak{sp}_\pm(4) = \{S_{\mu\nu}^\pm := S_{\mu\nu}\Pi_\pm, \quad \Gamma_\mu^\pm := \Gamma_\mu\Pi_\pm\}, \quad (3.11)$$

with the algebras $\mathfrak{sp}_\pm(4)$ commuting with each other. The $\mathfrak{sp}_+(4)$ algebra generates the $SO(3, 2)$ group and $\mathfrak{sp}_-(4)$ its double cover $Sp(4)$, associated respectively with bosons and fermions, and both are merged in the metaplectic group. Of course, at the level of algebras $\mathfrak{mp}(4) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$. The module $\mathcal{M}$ is described in Appendix A via the reduction of $\mathfrak{mp}(4)$ under its maximal compact subalgebra, say $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$. The modules $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ are then identified as the lowest-weight modules for the two Cartan generators: the energy Γ_0 and the spin of the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ subalgebra. The modules $\mathcal{M}_-$ and $\mathcal{M}_+$ are thereby denoted, respectively, as $\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1, 1/2)$ (see *e.g.* [18] for a review).

The ubiquitous appearance of the above-mentioned modules explains the large number of synonymous terminology which have been used to refer to them. For the convenience of the reader, the various names and notations for these modules are summarized in the table 2 corresponding to the various objects acting on them.

It is also possible to reduce the complex $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ algebra under its Lorentz subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ generated by

$$J_0 = \frac{\Gamma_0 + S_{12}}{2}, \quad J_1 = \frac{\Gamma_1 + S_{02}}{2}, \quad J_2 = -\frac{S_{01} - \Gamma_2}{2} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\bar{J}_0 = \frac{\Gamma_0 - S_{12}}{2}, \quad \bar{J}_1 = \frac{\Gamma_1 - S_{02}}{2}, \quad \bar{J}_2 = -\frac{S_{01} + \Gamma_2}{2}. \quad (3.13)$$

⁹ The $\mathcal{R}$ operator employed here is, a generalization of the parity operator of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator previously introduced in [35], and frequently called “Klein” or “reflection” operator (see *e.g.* [33, 36, 37]).

Table 2:

Representation	$\mathcal{M}$	$\mathcal{M}_-$	$\mathcal{M}_+$
A_2	Fock space	odd	even
$Mp(4)$	Metaplectic module	odd	even
$OSp(1 4)$	Singleton supermultiplet	Spinor singleton	Scalar singleton
$Spin(2,3) \cong Sp(4)$	Di-Rac module	Di : $\mathcal{D}(1, \frac{1}{2})$	Rac : $\mathcal{D}(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$
$Spin(1,3) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{C})$	Majorana representation	Principal : $[\frac{1}{2}, 0]$	Complementary : $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$

Then, the module $\mathcal{M}$ decomposes into the tensor product of two $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ modules, say as $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_L \otimes \mathcal{M}_R$. The usefulness of the representations (3.12)-(3.13) is that these submodules can be identified with the left and right subsectors, as is manifest when one makes use of the chiral representation of the Dirac matrices in (3.3) or when one works with “dotted” and “undotted” spinors. In fact, the chiral representation is the one usually employed in the description of massless higher spin field on AdS_4 (e.g. in [38]) or on Minkowski space-time $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (for instance in [39]). It should be stressed however that $\mathfrak{so}(1,3) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism of *complex* algebras but not of *real* algebras. Roughly speaking, it does not preserve hermiticity nor the number of compact directions since the isomorphism makes use of multiplication by imaginary factors. If one complexifies $\mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ and defines $R_1 = iJ_1 + i\bar{J}_1, R_2 = iJ_2 + i\bar{J}_2, R_3 = J_0 + \bar{J}_0$ and $B_1 = -i(iJ_1 - i\bar{J}_1), B_2 = -i(iJ_2 - i\bar{J}_2), B_3 = -i(J_0 - \bar{J}_0)$, they generate the Lorentz algebra, the R ’s generating the rotations and the B ’s the boosts. But this representation is not unitary since these operators are not Hermitian. More precisely, if one introduces $L_\alpha, \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$ such that $L_\alpha^\dagger = \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $[L_1, L_2] = -i, [\bar{L}_1, \bar{L}_2] = -i$ and define $L_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \{L_\alpha, L_\beta\}, \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \{\bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{L}_{\dot{\beta}}\}$ and $L_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \{L_\alpha, \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}$, the relationship with $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ is given by $S_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} L^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}^{\mu\nu} \bar{L}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}} L^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ with $\sigma_\mu, \bar{\sigma}_\mu$ the Dirac matrices in the Weyl representation (see [39] for the notations). But since the σ -matrices are complex matrices this clearly shows that the correspondance from $L_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ and $L_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ to the $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ generators only holds in a complexification.

The irreducible representations of the complex Lorentz algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3,1)$ are labelled by the spin of every $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. here the eigenvalues of $J_0 = N_1/2$ and $\bar{J}_0 = N_2/2$. This reproduces the same modules than for the reduction under the subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$, since their Cartan subalgebras are basically the same, formed by the number operators N_1 and N_2 . In Vasiliev’s theory of interacting massless higher-spin fields on AdS_4 (as reviewed in [38]), the higher-spin superalgebra of symmetries is isomorphic to the Weyl algebra A_2 (endowed with the commutator as Lie bracket). The metaplectic module is also a module of the Weyl algebra, thus the singleton supermultiplet is the module of the higher-spin superalgebra. The higher-spin superalgebra is then understood as the infinite-dimensional extension of the superalgebra $OSp(1|4)$ on AdS_4 ; it is realized as polynomials in the generators L_a which are not constrained to be at most quadratic. The elements of A_2 with degree higher than two are associated with the massless higher-spin fields on AdS_4 . A somewhat surprising feature of the *massive* higher-spin supermultiplet in Minkowski introduced in Section 6 later on is that it is a module of the higher-spin superalgebra on anti de Sitter. Though this group of symmetries acts only on the spinning degrees of freedom for the massive supermultiplet, it might be a remnant of the higher-spin gauge symmetries after a spontaneous symmetry breaking and a flat space-time limit.

4 Spectrum of the Majorana equation

The Majorana theory [1] consists of the Dirac-like equation (2.3) provided with the Poincaré generators (2.2) and the spinning degrees of freedom given by the $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ algebra representation (3.3). Fermions and bosons are respectively contained in the Di and Rac modules of $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ and both can be unified in the supersymmetric singleton module of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$. More concretely, the fields $\psi(x, q)$ are functions of the space-time coordinates x^μ and the internal coordinates q_i , the last providing the spinning degrees of freedom, completing effectively a six-dimensional configuration space.

The information about the particle content is encoded in the Casimir operators P^2 and the square of the Pauli-Lubanski vector (2.9). For a single massive particle of mass m and spin J , the quadratic and quartic Casimir operator of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)$ are fixed and given by

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)) = -P^2 = m^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_4(\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)) = \mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)) \times \mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(3)) \iff W^2 = m^2 J(J+1). \quad (4.1)$$

Employing the identities (3.4), one can show that, on the Di-Rac-Majorana representation, the squared Pauli-Lubanski vector takes the form

$$W^2 = \frac{1}{4} P^2 + (P \cdot \Gamma)^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, in Majorana's theory a particle with some fixed spin and mass is equivalently characterized by the equations

$$(P \cdot \Gamma - M)\psi(x, q) = 0, \quad (P^2 + m^2)\psi(x, q) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

The first one corresponds to the infinite-component Majorana equation and the second one is the Klein-Gordon equation. In Majorana's construction, these equations are completely independent, as explained in Section 2. According to the values of P^2 , massless, tachyon and massive particles can be obtained.¹⁰ The spectrum of particles in every sector (summarized in table 3) can be obtained from the representation of the little algebra in the standard frame, which is contained in the respective stabilizer of $\mathfrak{so}(2,3)$ (see table 5 in Appendix A).

Table 3:

UIR	Standard frame P^μ	Stability algebra	Majorana spectrum
Massive	$(m, 0, 0, 0)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$m = M/(J + \frac{1}{2}) : J = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$
Continuous-spin	$(E, 0, 0, E)$	$\mathfrak{iso}(2)$	$E = M/\varepsilon : 0 < \varepsilon < +\infty$
Tachyonic	$(0, 0, 0, \ell)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$	$\ell = M/\sigma : 0 < \sigma < \infty$

For our purpose, we focus ourself here in the study of the massive and massless solutions.

In the rest frame for a massive particle of mass say m , the momentum is $P^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ and the Dirac-like operator reads $P \cdot \Gamma = m \Gamma_0$. The spectrum of eigenvalues of the operator Γ_0 is the set of non-vanishing half-odd-integer numbers, so the Majorana equation produces the mass spectrum

$$m_J = \frac{M}{J + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad J = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots \quad (4.4)$$

¹⁰See ref. [5], and appendix A for a summarized account of the massless and tachyonic solutions.

Observe that there are only positive-energy solutions. Moreover, for any fixed momenta the eigenspaces of Γ_0 is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ -module $\mathcal{D}_J$ of dimension $2J + 1$ (see Appendix A, (A.5)). Therefore, the half-integer J gives the spin of the corresponding particle of mass m_J and the operator $\mathcal{R}$ (3.7) is the statistical phase (see (A.9)).

The statistical phase for every particle is also given by (A.9) with J , the eigenvalues of $\hat{S}$. A specific particle in this spectrum can be extracted out by imposing simultaneously the Dirac-like equation in (4.3) and the Klein-Gordon equation $P^2 + m_J^2 = 0$. Differential equations of different sort can be proposed such that a finite set of particles in the massive sector of the Majorana representation are extracted. On the one hand, first order field equations have already been given for spin zero in [23] (the so-called “new Dirac equation”) and spin 1/2 in [24] (the “Staunton equation”). On the other hand, Bidenharn proposed [40] a differential equation of order $2J + 1$ which extracts all the massive particles with spin $\leq 2J$. All these equations have only positive energy solutions.

In the standard frame for a massless particle of energy E , the momentum is $P^\mu = (E, 0, 0, E)$ and the Dirac-like operator reads $P \cdot \Gamma = E(\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3)$. The spectrum of eigenvalues of the operator $\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3$ is the subset of real numbers $\varepsilon > 0$. In order to understand the massless spectrum, it is better to look at the squared Pauli-Lubanski (4.2) which in the present case is equal to $W^2 = (P \cdot \Gamma)^2 = E^2 \varepsilon^2 = M^2$ by virtue of the Majorana equation. This means that the massless sector is made of the “continuous-spin” representations parametrized by M . A more detailed analysis (see [5] and Appendix A) shows that the massless spectrum is actually made of the direct sum of the bosonic and the fermionic continuous-spin representations. Khan and Ramond provided an enlightening interpretation of the elusive “continuous-spin” (bosonic or fermionic) representations as the limit of massive representations where the (either integer or half-odd-integer) spin goes to infinity, $J \rightarrow \infty$ and the mass to zero, $m \rightarrow 0$, with their product $Jm = M$ kept fixed to a constant M with the dimension of a mass [25]. Indeed, one can check that the squared Pauli-Lubanski has the limit: $W^2 = m^2 J(J + 1) \rightarrow M^2$. Remark that the Majorana equation provides a particular realization of this limit because the massless sector can be understood as the infinite-spin limit of the massive sector, see (4.4). More generally, this new interpretation explains many exotic features of the continuous-spin representations. For instance, although they are massless the continuous-spin representations are not conformally invariant since they are characterized by a parameter with the dimension of a mass, like massive particles.

It is worth mentioning that, formally, the (spin 1/2) Dirac equation consists in replacing the Γ_μ operator by the Dirac-matrices $(-\frac{i}{2}\gamma_\mu)$ more precisely), and the continuous internal variables q_i by discrete (spinor) variables. Other finite representations for Γ_μ can be considered, producing other equations, like the Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau (describing a scalar and a vector massive field) for example. In the general case, studied by Bhabha [27], it is not possible to fix univocally the Poincaré representation, and a finite number of particles appear. A characteristic of these systems is the increasing number of particles and the maximal spin in the spectrum, when the dimension of the Γ -matrices increases, while the mass still decreases with the increasing spin. It is interesting to observe that the Dirac and the Majorana equations look like limiting cases, *i.e.* when the dimension of the Γ -matrices is four (the minimum possible to get a faithful $\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ representation) and, respectively, when their dimension is infinite. They correspond also to two “extreme” cases when the equation produces either only one irreducible representation of the Poincaré group, describing a fermion, or when the representation contains an infinite number of particles.

5 Relativistic wave equations and arbitrary Regge trajectories

The Majorana UIRs of the Lorentz algebra can be used to describe an arbitrary number of particles with spin and mass distributed in arbitrary (non-degenerate) Regge trajectories. Group theoretically, that can be done by imposing some relation between the Casimir operators of the Poincaré algebra. Field theoretically, this can be realized by establishing a functional relation between the Dirac-like operator $P \cdot \Gamma$ and the momentum square P^2 . Then, the Poincaré group representation is not irreducible but decomposes as direct sum of UIRs with a certain correlation between spin and mass. The constraint has the general form,

$$\mathcal{F}(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2)\psi(x, q) = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

such that the infinite-component Majorana equation and the Klein-Gordon equation can respectively be seen as the two particular cases where the function $\mathcal{F}(y, z)$ is either linear in y or z either leading to a decreasing Regge trajectory (4.4) or to a horizontal Regge trajectory $m^2 = \text{constant}$. The first example corresponds to Majorana's theory while the second corresponds to the massive higher-spin supermultiplet, as discussed in many detail in the next section. A general discussion of wave equations with the form $\mathcal{F}(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2) = f(P^2) - (P \cdot \Gamma)^2$ was provided in [41]. We will not attempt to present a completely exhaustive discussion of infinite-component wave equations (5.1) based on the Majorana representation but we will merely describe a particular prescription for describing Regge trajectories. In order to ensure a spectrum of massive particles, one can assume for instance

$$\mathcal{F}(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2) = P^2 + \mathcal{G}_+(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_+ > 0$ is a positive definite function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, such that the solutions of (5.1) can be only massive $P^2 < 0$. Notice that, when restricted to massive solutions $P^2 < 0$, the square of the Pauli-Lubanski vector (4.2) can be written as,

$$W^2 = -P^2 \hat{J}(\hat{J} + 1), \quad \hat{J} := \frac{P \cdot \Gamma}{\sqrt{-P^2}} - 1/2, \quad (5.3)$$

from where we identified the “spin operator” $\hat{J}$ (observe that this operator is non-local) by analogy with equation (4.1). Passing to the standard frame $P^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ this operator becomes equivalent to the Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator on the plane (see (A.4) and (A.5)),

$$\hat{J} \stackrel{\text{rest}}{=} \hat{S} = \frac{\hat{H} - 1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{J} = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots \quad (5.4)$$

hence, it takes only half-integer eigenvalues. We can rewrite now (5.2) in terms of the spin operator via

$$\mathcal{G}_+(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2) = \mathcal{G}_+(\sqrt{-P^2}(\hat{J} + 1/2), P^2),$$

where the apparent non-locality is just an artifact coming from the use of the spin operator. In order to produce arbitrary non-degenerate Regge trajectories, one should assume that the corresponding equation

$$\mathcal{F}(m(J + 1/2), m^2) = 0$$

can, say, be solved for the spin J in terms of the mass m (this requires some invertibility condition such as $\partial \mathcal{G}_+(y, z)/\partial y \neq 0$). These rising Regge trajectories requires either a non-local or at least a higher-derivative wave operator $\mathcal{F}(P \cdot \Gamma, P^2)$ whose corresponding inverse (*i.e.* the propagator) would give rise to unphysical properties such as ghosts, unfortunately. This is in agreement with

the no-go theorem [12]. In particular, the wave equation where α' with dimension of length square and $c > 0$ a dimensionless positive constant (cf. [42])

$$\alpha' P^2 + c + \hat{J} = 0,$$

produces a linearly rising Regge trajectory $m^2 = (J + c)/\alpha'$ (“Chew-Frautchi plot”) but is highly non-local. Nevertheless, the local (but sixth order) equation

$$P^2 \left(\alpha' P^2 + c - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + (P \cdot \Gamma)^2 = 0,$$

reproduces the same linearly rising Regge trajectory.

6 Massive higher spin fields as covariant harmonic oscillator

Let us turn back to the particular case of a wave function taking values in Majorana’s representation of the Lorentz group and satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation. The corresponding mass spectrum has infinite degeneracy and corresponds to a horizontal Regge trajectory. In this simplest case, there exists a simple dictionary between the Fock space of a planar harmonic oscillator and the Hilbert space of positive-energy particles in this horizontal Regge trajectory.

The spin operator in the rest frame (5.4) takes a particularly simple expression, corresponding to the Hamiltonian of a planar harmonic oscillator. The raising operators a_i^+ transform as two-component Weyl spinors under the rotation subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ so that it is clear that the basis elements $a_{i_1}^+ \dots a_{i_{2J}}^+ | 0 \rangle$ of the Fock space at level J span the spin- J module $\mathcal{D}_J$ of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Thus to any energy level with degeneracy equal to $2J + 1 = N_1 + N_2 + 1$ ($N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$) in the Fock space corresponds a massive particle of spin $J = (N_1 + N_2)/2$ in the Hilbert space. These degenerated states, corresponding to $(a_1^+)^{N_1} (a_2^+)^{N_2} | 0 \rangle$ in the Fock space, can be labelled by the third component of the spin, say $S_3 = S_{12} = (N_1 - N_2)/2$. The raising a_i^+ and lowering a_i^- operators ($i = 1, 2$) respectively increase and decrease the energy of the planar harmonic oscillator by one unit. Since the spin is related to the energy in (A.5), this means that the same operators acting on the Hilbert space equivalently increase or decrease the spin by¹¹ one-half, i.e. they correspond to a supersymmetry transformation. As mentioned in the Appendix A this “bosonic” realisation of supersymmetry which comes from the Weyl algebra A_2 has the interesting consequence that the irreducible supermultiplets may contain an infinite number of particles. This motivates to establish the precise dictionary between the harmonic oscillator on the plane and the massive higher-spin supermultiplet. We would like to have therefore, the Poincaré covariant equivalent expression of the harmonic oscillators as well as the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. For that purpose, we define the covariant version of the creation and annihilation operators (in the sense that they reduce in the rest frame to $a_i^\pm$) as follows:

$$D_a^\pm = (\pm i P^\mu \gamma_\mu + \sqrt{-P^2})_a{}^b L_b. \quad (6.1)$$

They satisfy $(D_a^-)^\dagger = D_a^+$. In the rest frame $P^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ we have

$$[D_a^\pm]_{rest} = m(a_1^\pm, a_2^\pm, \pm i a_1^\pm, \pm i a_2^\pm). \quad (6.2)$$

A simple computation gives

$$[D_a^\pm, D_b^\pm] = 0, \quad [D_a^+, D_b^-] = 2\sqrt{-P^2}(P \cdot \gamma)_{ab} - 2iP^2 C_{ab}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$[J_{\mu\nu}, D_a^\pm] = -(\gamma_{\mu\nu})_a{}^b D_b^\pm, \quad [P_\mu, D_a^\pm] = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

¹¹More precisely, the oscillators $a_1^\pm$ create/destroy a spin “up” while the oscillators $a_2^\pm$ create/destroy a spin “down.”

This algebra by itself does not require the mass-shell condition. Observe however that “passing to the rest frame,” states with different masses would produce the same vacuum for the harmonic oscillator, by means of the equation $a_i^-|0\rangle = 0$. In other words, the “covariant” vacuum defined by $D_a^- \phi(x, q) = 0$, has an indeterminate mass. The mass-shell condition is a first class constraint consistent with covariant-vacuum equation since $[P^2 + m^2, D_a^-] = 0$. Thus it can be used to redefine $\tilde{D}_a^- := [D_a^-]_{\sqrt{-P^2}=m} = (-iP^\mu \gamma_\mu + m)_a{}^b L_b$. In this way, the equation

$$\tilde{D}_a^- \phi(x, q) = 0, \quad (6.5)$$

produces a well defined *covariant vacuum* with spin zero and mass m , which in fact turns to be the “new Dirac equation” [23]. We can verify the consistency of the condition (6.5). Indeed the commutator $[\tilde{D}_a^-, \tilde{D}_b^-] = -iC_{ab}(P^2 + m^2)$ together with $L^a \tilde{D}_a^- = -4i(P \cdot \Gamma - m/2)$ leads to the Klein-Gordon and Majorana equations

$$(P^2 + m^2)\phi(x, q) = 0, \quad (P \cdot \Gamma - m/2)\phi(x, q) = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

By (5.3), $\phi(x, q)$ has mass m and spin 0. The vacuum has been defined, so the raising operator D_a^+ enables us to get higher-spin particles. In fact, the fields

$$\varphi_S(x, q) = \zeta^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2S}} \varphi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2S}}(x, q), \quad \varphi_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2S}}(x, q) = D_{a_1}^+ D_{a_2}^+ \dots D_{a_{2S}}^+ \phi(x, q), \quad (6.7)$$

where $\zeta^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2S}}$ are constant symmetric spinor-tensors, have mass m and spin S , where $S = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$, since they satisfy the Klein-Gordon and the *spin equation*,

$$(P^2 + m^2)\varphi_S(x, q) = 0, \quad (\hat{J} - S)\varphi_S(x, q) = 0. \quad (6.8)$$

Taking in account (6.6) for the vacuum, the first relation is automatic from $[P^2 + m^2, D_{a_1}^+ D_{a_2}^+ \dots D_{a_{2S}}^+] = 0$ whereas the second one is obtained considering

$$[\hat{J}, D_a^\pm] = \pm 1/2 D_a^\pm, \quad (6.9)$$

and $[\hat{J}, D_{a_1}^+ D_{a_2}^+ \dots D_{a_{2S}}^+] = S D_{a_1}^+ D_{a_2}^+ \dots D_{a_{2S}}^+$. The field (6.7) is completely symmetric in the a_i indices, since $D_{a_1}^+$ are commuting operators. Furthermore, observe that supersymmetric transformations are generated by the D_a^+ and D_a^- operators, as they increase or decrease the spin in one-half unit

$$(\hat{J} - (S \pm 1/2))D_a^\pm \varphi_S(x, q) = 0,$$

as a simple consequence of (6.9) and the fact that $\varphi_S(x, q)$ is a spin- S field, i.e. a solution of (6.8). The general solution of the Klein-Gordon equation is therefore

$$\varphi(x, q) = \sum_{S \in \{0, 1/2, 1, \dots\}} \varphi_S(x, q), \quad (6.10)$$

with every $\varphi_S(x, q)$, carrying an irreducible representation of the Poincaré group of spin S . This infinite tower of higher spin is indeed a consequence of the decomposition $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ (A.10), and turns out to be a characteristic of the Majorana representation. These fields are solutions of the Dirac-Fierz-Pauli [9] equations for massive fields. Let us define the operators,

$$\hat{\phi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}^\pm = \hat{h}_{\mu_1}^\pm \dots \hat{h}_{\mu_n}^\pm, \quad \hat{\Psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}^\pm{}^a = \hat{h}_{\mu_1}^\pm \dots \hat{h}_{\mu_n}^\pm D^{\pm a}, \quad (6.11)$$

$$\hat{h}_\mu^\pm = (\gamma_\mu)^{ab} D_a^\pm D_b^\pm = 8 \left(-P^2 \Gamma_\mu + P \cdot \Gamma P_\mu \pm i \sqrt{-P^2} S_{\mu\nu} P^\nu \right). \quad (6.12)$$

From (6.7), saturating the spinor indices by contraction with the γ_μ -matrices one gets the fields,

$$\phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}(x, q) := \hat{\phi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^+ \phi(x, q), \quad \Psi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^a(x, q) := \hat{\Psi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^+{}^a \phi(x, q). \quad (6.13)$$

By construction, they have integer spin- $S = n$ or half-odd-integer spin- $S = n + 1/2$ respectively, since

$$[\hat{J}, \hat{\phi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^\pm] = \pm n \hat{\phi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^\pm, \quad [\hat{J}, \hat{\Psi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^\pm{}^a] = \pm(n + 1/2) \hat{\Psi}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}^\pm{}^a. \quad (6.14)$$

Now, from the identities,

$$(-iP^\mu \gamma_\mu + m)_a{}^c (+iP^\mu \gamma_\mu + m)_c{}^b = (P^2 + m^2) \delta_a^b, \quad (6.15)$$

$$iP^\mu \hat{h}_\mu^\pm = \partial^\mu \hat{h}_\mu^\pm = 0, \quad \hat{h}^{\pm\mu} \hat{h}_\mu^\pm = 0, \quad (6.16)$$

we check easily that fields (6.13) are also solutions of the higher spin field equations¹²

$$(P^2 + m^2) \phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n}(x, q) = 0, \quad \partial^\mu \phi_{\mu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{n-1}}(x, q) = 0, \quad \phi_{\mu\mu_2\ldots\mu_{n-2}}^\mu(x, q) = 0, \quad (6.17)$$

$$(iP^\mu \gamma_\mu - m)_a{}^b (\Psi_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n})_b(x, q) = 0, \quad \Psi_{\mu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{n-2}}^\mu(x, q) = 0, \quad (\gamma^\mu)_a{}^b (\Psi_{\mu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{n-1}})_b(x, q) = 0 \quad (6.18)$$

but they have only positive energy, by construction. Observe however that a dependence in the internal space $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (q_1, q_2)$ still remains.

In summary, via “covariantization” we have established the precise dictionary between the states of the planar harmonic oscillator and the positive-energy massive particles in a horizontal Regge trajectory including all spins.

Table 4:

Planar harmonic oscillator	Horizontal Regge trajectory
$a_i^\pm$	$D_a^\pm$
$a_i^- 0\rangle = 0$	$D_a^- \phi(x, q) = 0, \quad (P^2 + m^2) \phi(x, q) = 0$
$ n_1, n_2\rangle$	$\varphi_S(x, q), \quad S = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}$
H	$\hat{J} + 1/2$
$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$

7 Symmetries of the infinite set of fields

We have already seen that the multiplet (6.10) exhibit some aspect of supersymmetry since it contains bosons and fermions which are related by the $D_a^\pm$ operators. Because it contains an infinite number of particles, in principle they could involve additional “internal” symmetries. We can immediately see for instance, that the Hermitian combination of operators $D_a^\pm$ gives rise to

$$L_a = \frac{D_a^+ + D_a^-}{\sqrt{-P^2}},$$

¹²In order to prove the last equation in (6.18), it is necessary to use the fact that the operator $(\gamma^\mu)_a{}^b \hat{h}_\mu^+ D^+{}_b$ vanishes. This fact can be checked in the rest.

i.e., the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ supercharge. Hence, the supermultiplet (6.10) carries not only representation of the Poincaré algebra, but also a representation of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$. This supermultiplet can be considered as the positive-energy sector of the equation (5.2) in the particular case when $\mathcal{G}_+ = m^2$ (i.e. a “horizontal” Regge-trajectory) or like the limit $J \rightarrow \infty$ of the Biedenharn equation [40]. In fact the Biedenharn equation, is a generalization of the New Dirac equation (6.5), which can be written as

$$\tilde{D}_{a_1}^- \dots \tilde{D}_{a_{2J+1}}^- \phi(x, q) = 0, \quad J = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots,$$

whose solutions are all fields with spin $S \leq J$ and mass m . At first glance however, the operators $D_a^\pm$ do not satisfy the usual anticommutation relation of supersymmetry

$$\{D_a^\pm, D_b^\pm\} = 4\sqrt{-P^2} \hat{A}_\mu^\pm (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \pm 4i \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\pm (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab}, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu^\pm := \sqrt{-P^2} \Gamma_\mu + \frac{P \cdot \Gamma}{\sqrt{-P^2}} P_\mu \pm i S_{\mu\nu} P^\nu, \quad \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\pm := \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^\pm - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu^\pm. \quad (7.2)$$

The equation (7.1) should be compared with (6.12) where $\hat{h}_\mu^\pm = 8\sqrt{-P^2} \hat{A}_\mu^\pm$. The vector and antisymmetric tensor operators $\hat{A}_\mu^\pm$ and $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\pm$ are related as a vector field and its fieldstrength. Actually the analogy may be pursued further because they obey to the Proca-like identity: $\partial^\mu \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu \equiv 0$. Observe that here we use a Schrödinger representation of these operators (acting on the internal space), which seems to be quite analogous to the representation of the three-dimensional Abelian Chern-Simons field in [43]. It might be useful to provide several interpretations of the algebra (7.1).

Firstly, operators in the r.h.s of (7.1) carry spin one,

$$[\hat{J}, \{D_a^\pm, D_b^\pm\}] = \pm \{D_a^\pm, D_b^\pm\}.$$

That means, both $\hat{A}^\pm$ and $\hat{F}^\pm$ acting on a field of spin S field produce another one of spin $S \pm 1$. In particular, acting on the vacuum, they produce

$$A_\mu(x, q) := \hat{A}_\mu^+ \phi(x, q), \quad F_{\mu\nu}(x, q) := \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^+ \phi(x, q) = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (7.3)$$

Then, owing the identities (6.16) one can check that the Proca equation and the transversality condition are satisfied

$$\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} - m^2 A_\nu = 0, \quad \partial^\nu A_\nu = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

Of course, this interpretation applies also for all operators $\hat{\phi}^+$ and $\hat{\Psi}^+$ in (6.11). Thus, $D_a^\pm$ are thus a kind of square root of the massive vector fields $A_\mu^\pm$.

Secondly, let us rewrite the anticommutator (7.1),

$$\{D_a^\pm, D_b^\pm\} = \left(4\sqrt{-P^2} \hat{J} P_\mu\right) (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \pm 4i \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\pm (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} + \left(-4P^2 \Gamma_\mu + 2\sqrt{-P^2} P_\mu \pm i4\sqrt{-P^2} S_{\mu\nu} P^\nu\right) (\gamma^\mu)_{ab}$$

and consider the “continuous-spin limit” [25]: $\sqrt{-P^2} \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{J} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\sqrt{-P^2} \hat{J} = M = \text{constant}$, then the last term in parenthesis vanishes and the anticommutator produces,

$$\{Q_a^\pm, Q_b^\pm\} = 2P_\mu (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} \pm 2i \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^\pm (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab}, \quad (7.5)$$

which are the usual anticommutation relation of the superPoincaré algebra with tensorial central charges. Here we have defined

$$Q_a^\pm := (\sqrt{2M})^{-1} D_a^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^\pm := (\sqrt{2M})^{-1} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\pm. \quad (7.6)$$

The operator

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm} = i(\sqrt{2M})^{-1} \left(\sqrt{-P^2} (P_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu} - P_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu}) \pm i(P_{\mu}S_{\nu\lambda} - P_{\nu}S_{\mu\lambda})P^{\lambda} \right)$$

(whose first term in parenthesis vanishes in the massless limit) is a central antisymmetric Lorentz tensor of rank two. Observe that this limit is equivalent to consider the large spin solutions of the Majorana equation. That comes from the condition $\sqrt{-P^2}\hat{J} = P \cdot \Gamma - \sqrt{-P^2}/2 = M$, which in the continuous-spin limit is equivalent to $P \cdot \Gamma = M$, the Majorana equation. It shows that the continuous-spin limit of the massive solutions of the Majorana equation and its massless solutions are equivalent. Hence, the massless sector of the Majorana equation form a (tensorial central extended) superPoincaré multiplet (*c.f.* [33, 26]). It can be seen also, simply by checking that the supercharges $Q_a^{\pm}$ are observables with respect to the Majorana equation for massless particles, *i.e.*, the commutator

$$[P \cdot \Gamma - M, Q_a^{\pm}] = \pm \sqrt{-P^2} Q_a^{\pm} / 2 \quad (7.7)$$

vanishes for massless particles. Thirdly, we can change our point of view and rewrite the anticommutator of $Q_a^{\pm}$

$$\{Q_a^{\pm}, Q_b^{\pm}\} = 2\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm}(\gamma^{\mu})_{ab} \pm 2i\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}(\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm} := (\sqrt{2M})^{-1} \sqrt{-P^2} \hat{A}_{\mu}^{\pm} = (\sqrt{2M})^{-1} \left((P \cdot \Gamma) P_{\mu} - P^2 \Gamma_{\mu} \pm i \sqrt{-P^2} S_{\mu\nu} P^{\nu} \right). \quad (7.8)$$

If we interpret either $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{+}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{-}$ as the “translation” operator, this is also the anticommutation relation of the superPoincaré algebra with tensorial central charges. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\lambda\rho}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}J_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho}J_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu\rho}J_{\nu\lambda} - \eta_{\nu\lambda}J_{\mu\rho}), \\ [J_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}^{\pm}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\pm} - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm}), \quad [\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\pm}] = 0, \\ [J_{\mu\nu}, Q_a^{\pm}] &= -(\gamma_{\mu\nu})_a{}^b Q_b^{\pm}, \quad [\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm}, Q_a^{\pm}] = 0. \quad (7.9) \\ [J_{\mu\nu}, \hat{W}_{\lambda\rho}^{\pm}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{W}_{\nu\rho}^{\pm} + \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^{\pm} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{W}_{\nu\lambda}^{\pm} - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{W}_{\mu\rho}^{\pm}), \\ [\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}, \hat{W}_{\lambda\rho}^{\pm}] &= 0, \quad [\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}^{\pm}] = 0, \quad [\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}, Q_a^{\pm}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Some remarks are in order here. The generators with the same upper index $\pm$ commute with each other, as a simple consequence of (6.3). However, since $[D_a^{+}, D_b^{-}] \neq 0$ the operators with different upper indices do not commute. Choosing just one type of operators in (7.9), *i.e.* with the upper index $+$ or $-$, one could be tempted to interpret $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ either, like the electric-magnetic charge of a membrane or like translation generators in a tensorial space. On the one hand, $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$, being related the fieldstrength of the Proca field (7.4), seems to be closer to the first interpretation. On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pm}$ and $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ together, could be seen as the translation operators in a $4 + 6$ dimensional (tensorial) space.

We would like to study the algebraic structure of operators (6.11). Because of (6.3), they commute when they have the same upper indices, symbolically; $[\hat{\phi}^{\pm}, \hat{\phi}^{\pm}] = [\hat{\phi}^{\pm}, \hat{\Psi}^{\pm}] = [\hat{\Psi}^{\pm}, \hat{\Psi}^{\pm}] = 0$. But when they have different upper indices, as Q_a^{+} and $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{-}$ *etc.*, they satisfy a complicated algebra. It is better therefore to proceed by looking at their algebraic properties, starting with the rough structure and then depurating it.

1. Firstly, consider the infinite-dimensional associative algebra presented by the generators D_a^+ and D_b^- modulo the (anti)commutation relations (6.3) where P^μ are seen as numbers:

$$\mathcal{CA}_2(P) := \{\text{All possible products of } D_a^+, D_a^-\} \quad (7.10)$$

In the particular case $P^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$, it becomes the Weyl algebra, so we could refer to $\mathcal{CA}_2(P)$ as the “covariantized Weyl algebra”.

2. Secondly, we can notice that $\mathcal{CA}_2(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded and

$$\mathcal{CA}_{2,0}(P) := \{\text{All even order products} \in \mathcal{CA}_2(P)\},$$

$$\mathcal{CA}_{2,1}(P) := \{\text{All odd order products} \in \mathcal{CA}_2(P)\}.$$

Hence

$$[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{CA}_{2,0}] = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{CA}_{2,1}\} = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

The subspace $\mathcal{CA}_{2,0}(P)$ corresponds to the operators which do not change the statistics of the fields, since it commutes with the “statistical phase” $\mathcal{R}$. The operators of $\mathcal{CA}_{2,1}$ change the statistics, shifting the spin by a half-odd-integer number, acting as generalized supercharges.

3. Thirdly, we can extract the operators which change the spin in a fixed quantity

$$\phi_{(n)}^\pm := \left\{ \text{All operators } \hat{\phi}_{(n)}^\pm \in \mathcal{CA}_{2,0} \mid [\hat{J}, \hat{\phi}_{(n)}^\pm] = \pm n \hat{\phi}_{(n)}^\pm \right\}, \quad (7.12)$$

$$\Psi_{(n+1/2)}^\pm := \left\{ \text{All operators } \hat{\Psi}_{(n)}^\pm \in \mathcal{CA}_{2,1} \mid [\hat{J}, \hat{\Psi}_{(n)}^\pm] = \pm(n+1/2) \hat{\Psi}_{(n)}^\pm \right\} \quad (7.13)$$

In other words, $\mathcal{CA}_2(P)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. A characteristic representant of any element in these sets can be chosen to be of the form

$$\hat{\phi}_{(n)}^\pm \rightarrow \hat{\phi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}^\pm, \quad \hat{\Psi}_{(n+1/2)}^\pm \rightarrow \hat{\Psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n}^\pm \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.14)$$

In fact, (7.12) and (7.13) form equivalence classes.

8 Conclusion

We have considered infinite-component fields carrying Majorana’s unitary representation of the Lorentz group.

On the one hand, imposing the Majorana equation, we have shown that it contains a massless superPoincaré multiplet with tensorial central charges. This supermultiplet is unusual because it carries continuous-spin representations of the Poincaré group. The possibility of a continuous-spin supermultiplet have been discussed already [26], but without tensorial central extension. This supermultiplet is equivalently obtained as the continuous-spin limit of their massive solutions (*cf.* [22]).

On the other hand, imposing the Klein-Gordon equation, an explicit system is constructed which is supersymmetric. The corresponding realization of the superPoincaré algebra (7.9) is rather exotic for three reasons: firstly, the “translation” operators (7.8) are not equal to the momenta and instead act both on space-time and spinning coordinates; secondly, non-vanishing tensorial central charges are present; thirdly, there is no bound on the spin content, the supermultiplet contains an infinite tower of particles with equal mass m for all spins $s \in \mathbb{N}/2$. Observe that in the case of

the $\mathcal{N}$ -extended supersymmetry algebra (without tensorial central charges), the difference between the maximal and minimal spin in any irreducible massive supermultiplet is at most equal to $\mathcal{N}/2$ (essentially because the supercharges are Grassmann odd). One could speculate that the exotic supersymmetric system constructed here should be related to the limit $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \infty$ of a supersymmetric theory.

Some comments on symmetry breaking are now in order. The massive (and tachyonic) solutions of the infinite-component Majorana equation are not supersymmetric but supersymmetry is approximately realized for large spins or small masses. It is shown by taking equation (7.7) and the Majorana mass-shell (4.4):

$$[P \cdot \Gamma - M, Q_a^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} Q_a^\pm m_J, \quad m_J = \frac{M}{J + 1/2}, \quad J = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$$

The supercharges $Q_a^\pm$ preserve the equation of motion only when the mass vanishes. Here the mass somehow acts as an order parameter (in analogy with Landau’s terminology for its theory of second order phase transition); it is zero in the (super)symmetric phase and different from zero in the broken phase. Insisting on the analogy, the low-spin sector with strongly broken supersymmetry could correspond to the ordered/low-energy phase and, respectively, the higher-spin sector could correspond to its disordered/high-energy phase. Thus, although the massless solutions of the Majorana equation are the only ones for which supersymmetry is exact, it might also be interesting to see if there exists some new spontaneous breaking of Poincaré supersymmetry where an infinite number of particles would acquire mass, except the continuous-spin supermultiplet which would appear as a Goldstone supermultiplet.

These ideas on symmetry breaking are also suggested from another point of view. Beyond the Planck scale, string theory is expected to reach an extremely symmetric phase with higher-spin gauge symmetries. Along this line of thinking, the infinite-dimensional higher-spin superalgebra on AdS_4 describing massless gauge fields of all spin (see *e.g.* [38] for some reviews) is expected to be spontaneously broken to its finite-dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ at low energies. Our degenerate massive spectrum carries a representation of the superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ and of its higher-spin extension. Therefore, the possibility arises that the dynamical origin of our massive supermultiplet might be some unusual flat limit of the non-Abelian higher-spin gauge theory on AdS_4 .

A posteriori, one might say that Majorana’s work of 1932 even anticipated supersymmetry, confirming once again the premonitory character of his ideas. The fact that the spectrum contains only positive-energy solutions with fermions and bosons treated on equal ground was already an indication in favour of supersymmetry [44]. Another perspective on our observation is that if one supplements Majorana’s equation by massless Klein-Gordon’s equation, this set of field equations provides a supersymmetrization of Wigner’s equations [45] describing the continuous-spin representation. It would be very interesting to investigate the precise relationship between these two descriptions, for instance by the possible link between the internal four-vector ξ^μ appearing in Wigner’s equation and the infinite-dimensional “Dirac matrices” Γ_μ appearing in Majorana’s equation (2.3).

Finally, it would be satisfactory to obtain the above-mentioned relativistic wave equations from an underlying particle/string/brane model via first quantization. For instance, single linear Regge trajectories have been obtained from various models: “rigid” string, “composite” particle, “discrete” string, *etc* (see *e.g.* [46] and refs therein). As another example, continuous spin representations arise from several “higher-order geometrical” models generalizing [47] (see *e.g.* [48] and refs therein). Moreover, the appearance of twistors in the Majorana representation and of tensorial central charges in the supersymmetry algebra are very reminiscent of the superparticle models [19, 21] and their

developments. In these models, superparticles tensorial central charges have different physical meaning than that of superbranes. They correspond to spin degrees of freedom of the superparticles, while it is well known that brane tensorial charges are similar to electric-magnetic charges. It would be interesting to find the right physical interpretation of the tensorial central charges appearing in the (continuous-spin and massive higher-spin) supermultiplets constructed in the present work. For this purpose, it is suggestive to look at its two dimensional internal space (see eqs. (6.17,6.18) and comments below).

To conclude, the work initiated by Majorana could provide a useful toy model for testing various ideas on higher-spin spontaneous supersymmetry breaking.

Acknowledgments

We thank N. Boulanger, M. Plyushchay and P. Sundell for valuable discussions. M.V. thanks to CNRS for postdoctoral grant (contract number 87366).

A The Weyl algebra A_2 and some subalgebras

The complex Weyl algebra A_2 is generated by the q_i and η_j satisfying (3.2). If we consider $A_2^{\mathbb{R}}$, the real form of A_2 , generated by the usual harmonic oscillators

$$a_i^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_i \mp \eta_i), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

it admits a unitary representation given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ |n_1, n_2\rangle = \frac{(a_1^+)^{n_1}}{\sqrt{n_1!}} \frac{(a_2^+)^{n_2}}{\sqrt{n_2!}} |0\rangle, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with the vacuum $|0\rangle$ being defined by $a_i^- |0\rangle = 0$. Furthermore A_2 (or $A_2^{\mathbb{R}}$) is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded associative algebra (graded by the map $\mathcal{R}$ (see (3.7)) and we have $A_2^{\mathbb{R}} = A_{2,0}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_{2,1}^{\mathbb{R}}$, such that $A_{2,i}^{\mathbb{R}} A_{2,j}^{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq A_{2,i+j}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (modulo two). Consequently the vector space $A_2^{\mathbb{R}}$ endowed with the bracket $[b_1, b_2]_{\pm} = b_1 b_2 - (-1)^{|b_1||b_2|} b_2 b_1$ where b_i are homogeneous elements and $|b_i|$ their $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, naturally inherits a structure of Lie superalgebra. Of course, similar results hold for the complex Weyl algebra A_2 . It is known that various (super)algebras can be embedded in the Weyl algebra $A_2^{\mathbb{R}}$ (the Lie subalgebra of the Weyl algebra A_1 have been classified in [49]). Since the representation (A.2) is unitary the corresponding representations will be automatically unitary provided that the various generators are Hermitian combinations of the oscillator (A.1).

A.1 The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ Lie superalgebra

We construct now explicitly the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ algebra introduced in (3.3). Its fermionic part is generated by the harmonic oscillators (A.1). To construct its bosonic part, we consider the Dirac matrices in the Majorana representation

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^0 \\ \sigma^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^0 \\ \sigma^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and set $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{4}[\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}]$. Using (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{12} &= \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2), & S_{23} &= \frac{i}{2}(-a_2^+ a_1^- + a_1^+ a_2^-), \\
S_{31} &= \frac{i}{2}(a_2^+ a_1^- + a_1^+ a_2^-), & S_{01} &= \frac{i}{4}(-a_1^{-2} + a_1^{+2} - a_2^{-2} + a_2^{+2}), \\
S_{02} &= \frac{i}{4}(a_1^{-2} + a_1^{+2} - a_2^{-2} - a_2^{+2}), & S_{03} &= \frac{i}{2}(-a_1^- a_2^- - a_1^+ a_2^+), \\
S_{40} &= \Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2 + 1), & S_{41} &= \Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{4}(a_1^{-2} + a_1^{+2} + a_2^{-2} + a_2^{+2}), \\
S_{42} &= \Gamma_2 = \frac{i}{4}(a_1^{-2} - a_1^{+2} - a_2^{-2} + a_2^{+2}), & S_{43} &= \Gamma_3 = \frac{i}{2}(-a_1^- a_2^- + a_1^+ a_2^+),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

it can be checked explicitly that the generators (A.4) are Hermitian. Furthermore, since the odd part of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ algebra is generated by the usual harmonic oscillators the representations are automatically infinite-dimensional and corresponds to (A.2). This is rather different to the usual supersymmetric theories, where the odd part of the algebra is generated by Grassmann variables and consequently a supermultiplet contains always a finite number of components.

We now identify the module space $\mathcal{M}$ in the decomposition $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3) \subset A_2^{\mathbb{R}}$. We firstly identify the Cartan subalgebra, and the corresponding reduction of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ under a rank-two subalgebra. Here we are interested in the reduction with respect to the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$. This algebra, is also taken in account for the classification of particles on AdS_4 space [18]. The $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$ subalgebra is generated by Γ_0 and $S_i := S_{jk}$ (i, j, k are in cyclic order). Its Cartan subalgebra is chosen to be formed by Γ_0 and S_3 . It is interesting to observe that Γ_0 is up to a factor $1/2$ the Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator on the plane (see (A.4)). In this representation Γ_0 and the Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ are related

$$\Gamma_0 = \hat{S} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \vec{S} \cdot \vec{S} = \hat{S}(\hat{S} + 1), \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{S} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2}. \tag{A.5}$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of the energy and the spin are integer or half-odd-integer numbers. However this representation is remarkable in the sense that when the eigenvalue of Γ_0 is an integer number, the spin is an half-odd-integer number and conversely. To identify precisely the representation of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ we are dealing with, we introduce the root operators $E^{\epsilon, \epsilon'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M_1^{\epsilon'} + i\epsilon M_2^{\epsilon'})$, $E^{0, \epsilon'} = M_3^{\epsilon'}$ (with $M_i^+ = iS_{0i} - S_{4i}$ and $M_i^- = iS_{0i} + S_{4i}$) where $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm$ are the eigenvalues of S_{12} and Γ_0 respectively. In particular we have

$$E^{+, -} = (a_2^-)^2, \quad E^{-, -} = (a_1^-)^2, \quad E^{0, -} = -ia_1^- a_2^-. \tag{A.6}$$

The lowest weights of the vector modules are annihilated by $E^{+, -}$, $E^{-, -}$ and $E^{0, -}$ and are labelled by the eigenvalues of $\hat{S}$. Thus the triad $(\Gamma_0, \hat{S}, S_3)$ takes the eigenvalues,

$$\text{Rac} : \quad (\Gamma_0, \hat{S}, s_3)\mathcal{M}_+ = (S + 1/2, S, s_3)\mathcal{M}_+, \quad S = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{A.7}$$

$$\text{Di} : \quad (\Gamma_0, \hat{S}, s_3)\mathcal{M}_- = (S + 1/2, S, s_3)\mathcal{M}_-, \quad S = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, \dots \tag{A.8}$$

and $s_3 = -S, -S + 1, \dots, S - 1, S$. The lowest eigenvalues of the energy and spin operators $(\Gamma_0, \hat{S})$ are respectively $(1/2, 0)$ and $(1, 1/2)$, hence, every module corresponds to the Rac and Di representations and are generically denoted $\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1, 1/2)$ respectively. Taking in account (3.7) and (A.5),

$$\mathcal{R} = (-1)^{2\hat{S}} = \exp(i2\pi\hat{S}), \tag{A.9}$$

the reflection operator becomes a *statistical phase*, i.e. a representation of the fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of the rotation group.

A.2 The $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ algebra

We would like now to show that the $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ -modules $\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1, 1/2)$ remain irreducible under the restriction to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$. First of all as a direct consequence of (A.4) and (A.5) we have that in the embedding $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0) &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_s, \\ \mathcal{D}(1, 1/2) &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{2}+s},\end{aligned}\tag{A.10}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_s$ is the spin- s irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Furthermore, using (A.4) it is not difficult to see that the boost operators $(K_i = S_{0i})$ maps states of different spin

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{D}_1 \xrightarrow{K} \dots \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{D}_n \xrightarrow{K} \dots,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{D}_{\frac{3}{2}} \xrightarrow{K} \dots \xrightarrow{K} \mathcal{D}_{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \xrightarrow{K} \dots,$$

and thus $\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1, 1/2)$ remain irreducible under the Lorentz group. Recall that the unitary representations of $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$ are usually denoted [2, 50, 51] by $[\ell_0, \ell_1]$ with either $\ell_1 = i\sigma/2 \in i\mathbb{R}$ and $\ell_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ (principal series) or $\ell_0 = 0$ and $0 < \ell_1 \leq 1$ (complementary series). The spin content of the representation is $\ell_0, \ell_0 + 1, \dots, \ell_0 + n, \dots$ and the Casimir operators are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)) = \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} = \ell_0^2 + \ell_1^2 - 1$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}'_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)) = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} S^{\mu\nu} S^{\rho\sigma} = -i\ell_0\ell_1.$$

From (3.5), we have $\ell_0\ell_1 = 0$ and $\ell_0^2 + \ell_1^2 = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus, in the embedding $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$, we have $\mathcal{D}(1/2, 0) = [0, 1/2]$ (complementary series), $\mathcal{D}(1, 1/2) = [1/2, 0]$ (principal series). This can also be obtained simply by computing the matrix element of the boost operator using (A.4) and comparing with [2, 50].

A.3 The algebra associated to tachyons and massless particles

It is possible also to classify the representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ employing other stabilizer algebras as we now show. It could be associated to some exotic particles in AdS_4 or, as we shall see, to the massless tachyonic particles on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (in Majorana's theory). These subalgebras are respectively $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{iso}(2)$, $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$. The results we recall here are given in [5]. In table 5 we summarize it for the reduction under the several subgroup.

We now study the decomposition under $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$. This embedding corresponds to the little algebra of the Poincaré algebra for tachyonic representations $P_\mu P^\mu = \ell^2 > 0$. We consider the standard vector $P^\mu = (0, 0, 0, \ell)$ the little algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$ is then generated by $\{S_{12}, S_{20}, S_{10}\} \oplus \{\Gamma_3\}$. The Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)) = J_{12}^2 - J_{20}^2 - J_{10}^2,$$

and a direct computation using (A.4) gives

Table 5:

$\mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$ Little algebra	Invariant vector	Eigenvalues
$\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) : \{\Gamma_0\} \oplus \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$	Γ_0	$1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$
$\mathfrak{iso}(2) : S_3, \pi_1, \pi_2$	$\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3$	$0 < \varepsilon < \infty$
$\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1, 2) : \{\Gamma_3\} \oplus \{S_3, S_{10}, S_{20}\}$	Γ_3	$-\infty < \sigma < \infty$

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)) = \frac{1}{4} - \Gamma_3^2. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

This means that the representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$ can be characterised by means of the eigenvalues of Γ_3 . Unitary representation of $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$ are either bounded from below/above (discrete series) or unbounded from below and above (continuous principal or continuous supplementary series). The continuous principal series are characterised by a continuous value of the Casimir operator $\mathcal{C}_2 = \Phi(\Phi + 1)$ with $\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} + i\rho$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ i.e. $\mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{4} - \rho^2$. Since Γ_3 is a hermitian operator, its eigenvalue are reals. Looking to (A.11) means that for any eigenvalue $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ of Γ_3 we have a continuous principal series where $\mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{4} - \sigma^2$. Indeed, denote $|n_1, n_2\rangle = \left\| \frac{n_1+n_2}{2}, \frac{n_1-n_2}{2} \right\rangle$ an eigenvector of $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{S}$ and of S_3 with eigenvalue $j = (n_1 + n_2)/2$ and $m = (n_1 - n_2)/2$ respectively. Introduce then for $n_2 \geq n_1$ (a similar case holds when $n_1 < n_2$)

$$|\sigma, m\rangle = \sum_{j \geq m}^{+\infty} A_j^{\sigma, m} |j, m\rangle, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which is an eigenvector of $J = S_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2)$ with eigenvalue m and impose $\Gamma_3 |\sigma, m\rangle = \sigma |\sigma, m\rangle$. This leads to an inductive relation for the coefficients $A_j^{\sigma, m}$ whose solution is [5]

$$A_j^{\sigma, m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2m+1)} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(j+m+1)}{j-m+1}} 2^{m+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(m-j)} F(m-j, m+\frac{1}{2} - \sigma, 2m+1, 2) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

on account to the identity upon the hypergeometric functions F [52]

$$((b-a)z - c + 2a)F(a, b, c, z) = (a-c)F(a-1, b, c, z) + (1-z)aF(a+1, b, c, z).$$

One can show that these eigenstates are not normalisable and satisfy

$$\langle \sigma', m' | \sigma, m \rangle = \delta_{mm'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma').$$

Now acting with the operators $K_{\pm} = S_{02} \pm iS_{01}$ upon (A.12), one obtains a representation unbounded from below and from above corresponding to the principal series $\mathcal{D}_p(\frac{1}{4} - \sigma^2, 0)$ if m is an integer number or $\mathcal{D}_p(\frac{1}{4} - \sigma^2, \frac{1}{2})$ if m is a half-odd-integer number. Consequently, if in the tachyonic case, where $P_{\mu}P^{\mu} = \ell^2$, one solve the Majorana equation in the standard frame, we obtain the principal series above with $\sigma = M/\ell$ as a solution.

We now study the decomposition $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{iso}(2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(3, 2)$. This embedding corresponds to the little algebra of the Poincaré algebra for massless representations $P_{\mu}P^{\mu} = 0$. In this case in the

standard frame we have $P^\mu = (E, 0, 0, E)$ and the little algebra $\mathfrak{iso}(2)$ is generated by $J = J_{12}$, and $\pi_1 = J_{01} + J_{31}, \pi_2 = J_{02} + J_{32}$. We thus consider the algebra $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{iso}(2)$ generated by $\{J_{12}, \pi_1, \pi_2\}$ and $\{\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3\}$. The Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{iso}(2)$ is given by $\pi^2 = \pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2$. Using (A.4), a direct computation leads to

$$\pi^2 = (\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3)^2.$$

Unitary representation of $\mathfrak{iso}(2)$ are infinite dimensional and defined by $\mathcal{D}_0(p) = \{|p, m\rangle, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(p) = \{|p, m + \frac{1}{2}\rangle, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^2 |p, m\rangle &= p^2 |p, m\rangle, J |p, m\rangle = m |p, m\rangle, \\ \pi_+ |p, m\rangle &= -ip |p, m + 1\rangle, \pi_- |p, m\rangle = ip |p, m - 1\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

with $\pi_\pm = \pi_1 \pm i\pi_2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Such representations are called the continuous spin representations. As before, one is able for any value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$, to find an eigenvector of $\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_3$ with an eigenvalue λ leading thus to the continuous spin representation $D_0(\epsilon)$ and $D_{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon = \lambda^2 > 0$ [5]. Furthermore, if one solves the Majorana equation in the standard frame, one obtains the two continuous spin representation above with $\epsilon = M$.

References

- [1] E. Majorana, Nuovo Cim. **9** (1932) 335 [in Italian].
- [2] R. Casalbuoni, PoS E **MC2006** (2006) 004 [arXiv:hep-th/0610252].
- [3] I. M. Gel'fand and A. M. Yaglom, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. **18** (1948) 707 [in Russian].
- [4] D. M. Fradkin, Am. J. Phys. **53** (1965) 314.
- [5] E. C. G. Sudarshan and N. Mukunda, Phys. Rev. D **1** (1970) 571.
- [6] E. Recami, *Il caso Majorana: epistolario, documenti, testimonianze* (Di Renzo, 2000) p.65 [in Italian].
- [7] E. Recami and S. Esposito, “The Scientific Manuscripts left Unpublished by Ettore Majorana (with outlines of his life and work),” arXiv:0709.1183 [physics.hist-ph];
S. Esposito, E. Recami, A. van der Merwe, R. Battiston (Eds.), *Ettore Majorana: Unpublished Research Notes on Theoretical Physics*, Fundamental Theories of Physics **159** (2009) 47.
- [8] E. Majorana, second letter to the National Council of Research (21.01.1933) MB/L1 [in Italian]; first published on p.145 of [6].
A scanned version of the original letter can be found on p.162 of F. Guerra and N. Robotti, *Ettore Majorana: Aspects of his Scientific and Academic Activity* (Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 2008).
- [9] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **155** (1936) 447;
M. Fierz, Helv. Phys. Acta **12** (1939) 3;
M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **173** (1939) 211.
- [10] A. Bohm, Y. Ne'Eman, A. O. Barut (Eds), *Dynamical Groups and Spectrum Generating Algebras* (World Scientific, 1989).

- [11] A. O. Barut and R. Raczka, *Theory of group representations and applications* (Polish Scientific Publishers, 1977) Section 21.3.
- [12] I. T. Grodsky and R. F. Streater, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20** (1968) 695.
- [13] M. A. Vasiliev, Fortsch. Phys. **52** (2004) 702 [arXiv:hep-th/0401177]; Comptes Rendus Physique **5** (2004) 1101 [arXiv:hep-th/0409260].
- [14] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, “Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions,” in R. Argurio, G. Barnich G. Bonelli, M. Grigoriev (Eds), *Higher-Spin Gauge Theories* (Solvay, 2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0503128].
- [15] P. A. M. Dirac, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963) 901.
- [16] M. Flato and C. Frønsdal, Lett. Math. Phys. **2** (1978) 421;
C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. D **26** (1982) 1988.
- [17] A. K. H. Bengtsson, “Mechanical models for higher spin gauge fields,” in the proceedings of the ‘4th RTN Workshop ForcesUniverse’ (Varna, Bulgaria, September 2008) [arXiv:0902.3915 [hep-th]].
- [18] H. Nicolai, “Representations of supersymmetry in Anti de Sitter space,” in B. de Wit, P. Fayet, P. van Nieuwenhuizen (Eds), *Supersymmetry and Supergravity ’84* (World Scientific, 1984).
- [19] I. A. Bandos, J. Lukierski and D. P. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 045002 [arXiv:hep-th/9904109].
- [20] C. Fronsdal, “Massless Particles, Orthosymplectic Symmetry And Another Type Of Kaluza-Klein Theory,” in C. Fronsdal, M. Flato, T. Hirai (Eds.), *Essays on Supersymmetry*, Mathematical Physics Studies **8** (1986).
- [21] M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 066006 [arXiv:hep-th/0106149]; Nucl. Phys. B **793** (2008) 469 [arXiv:0707.1085 [hep-th]];
M. Plyushchay, D. Sorokin and M. Tsulaia, JHEP **0304** (2003) 013 [arXiv:hep-th/0301067].
- [22] P. A. Horvathy, M. S. Plyushchay and M. Valenzuela, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 025017 [arXiv:0710.1394 [hep-th]].
- [23] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A **322** (1971) 435; and *ibid.* **328** (1972) 1.
- [24] L. P. Staunton, Phys. Rev. D **10** (1974) 1760.
- [25] A. M. Khan and P. Ramond, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 053515 [Erratum-*ibid.* **46** (2005) 079901] [arXiv:hep-th/0410107];
X. Bekaert and J. Mourad, JHEP **0601** (2006) 115 [arXiv:hep-th/0509092].
- [26] L. Brink, A. M. Khan, P. Ramond and X. z. Xiong, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 6279 [arXiv:hep-th/0205145].
- [27] H. J. Bhabha, Rev. Mod. Phys. **17** (1945) 200.
- [28] X. Bekaert and N. Boulanger, “The unitary representations of the Poincaré group in any space-time dimension,” in the proceedings of the ‘Second Modave summer school in mathematical physics’ (Modave, Belgium, August 2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0611263].

- [29] H. S. Green, Phys. Rev. **90** (1953) 270;
O. W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 598.
- [30] D. V. Volkov, Sov. Phys. JETP **9** (1959) 1107 [J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **36** (1959) 1560];
J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **38** (1960) 518.
- [31] J. Engquist, P. Sundell and L. Tamassia, Fortsch. Phys. **55** (2007) 711 [arXiv:hep-th/0701081].
- [32] M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Lett. B **262** (1991) 71; Nucl. Phys. B **362** (1991) 54;
R. Jackiw and V. P. Nair, Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) 1933.
- [33] P. A. Horvathy, M. S. Plyushchay and M. Valenzuela, Nucl. Phys. B **768**, 247 (2007)
[arXiv:hep-th/0610317].
- [34] M. S. Plyushchay, Annals Phys. **245** (1996) 339 [arXiv:hep-th/9601116]; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A
15 (2000) 3679 [arXiv:hep-th/9903130].
- [35] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **77** (1950) 711;
L. M. Yang, Phys. Rev. **84** (1951) 788
- [36] M. A. Vasiliev, JETP Lett. **50** (1989) 374 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **50** (1989) 344].
- [37] M. S. Plyushchay, Nucl. Phys. B **491** (1997) 619 [arXiv:hep-th/9701091].
- [38] M. A. Vasiliev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **5** (1996) 763 [arXiv:hep-th/9611024]; “Higher spin gauge
theories: Star-product and AdS space,” in Golfand’s Memorial Volume: M. A. Shifman (ed.),
The many faces of the superworld (World Scientific, 1999) 533 [arXiv:hep-th/9910096].
- [39] S. M. Klishevich, M. S. Plyushchay and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, Nucl. Phys. B **616** (2001)
419 [arXiv:hep-th/0101190].
- [40] L.C. Biedenharn and M.Y. Han, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973) 1735.
- [41] R. Casalbuoni, R. Gatto and G. Longhi, Phys. Rev. D **3** (1971) 1499.
- [42] H. van Dam and L. C. Biedenharn, Phys. Lett. B **81** (1979) 313.
- [43] G. V. Dunne, R. Jackiw and C. A. Trugenberger, Annals Phys. **194** (1989) 197.
- [44] M. S. Plyushchay, Electron. J. Theor. Phys. **3N10** (2006) 17 [arXiv:math-ph/0604022];
A. Zichichi, CERN Cour. **46N6** (2006) 23 [PoS E **MC2006** (2006) 017].
- [45] E.P. Wigner, Z. Physik **124** (1947) 665;
V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **34** (1948) 211.
- [46] L. P. Staunton, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976) 3269;
A. Barducci and D. Dominici, Nuovo Cim. A **37** (1977) 385;
V. D. Gershun and A. I. Pashnev, Phys. **73** (1987) 1227 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **73** (1987) 294].
- [47] M. S. Plyushchay, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4** (1989) 837; Phys. Lett. B **243** (1990) 383.
- [48] D. Zoller, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 1423;
G. K. Savvidy, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19** (2004) 3171 [arXiv:hep-th/0310085];
L. Edgren, R. Marnelius and P. Salomonson, JHEP **0505** (2005) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0503136];
J. Mourad, AIP Conf. Proc. **861** (2006) 436.

- [49] M. Rausch de Traubenberg, M. J. Slupinski and A. Tanasa, J. Lie Theory **16** (2006) 427, [arXiv:math/0504224].
- [50] D. Tz Stoyanov and I. T. Todorov, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968) 2146.
- [51] I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev and N. Ya Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions, Integral Geometry and Representation Theory* (Acad. Press Inc, 1966), Vol.5;
M. A. Naimark, *Linear Representations of the Lorentz Group* (Pergamon Press, 1964).
- [52] H. Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions* Vol. I (Robert F. Krieger Publishing Company, 1985) p.103.